

# Object-Oriented Design and Programming

## Overview of Object-Oriented Design Principles and Techniques

Douglas C. Schmidt

[www.cs.wustl.edu/~schmidt/](http://www.cs.wustl.edu/~schmidt/)

[schmidt@cs.wustl.edu](mailto:schmidt@cs.wustl.edu)

Washington University, St. Louis

1

## Deja Vu?

- In the past: *Structured* = Good
- Today: *Object-Oriented* = Good
- e.g.,  
  
Object-oriented languages are good  
Ada is an object-oriented language  
-----  
Therefore, Ada is good
- Note, there is even an object-oriented COBOL!

2

## Goals

- Demystify the hype surrounding OOD and OOP
- Focus on OOD/OOP *principles, methods, notations, and tools*
- Relate OOD/OOP to traditional development methods

3

## Overview

- *What are object-oriented (OO) methods?*
  - OO methods provide a set of techniques for analyzing, decomposing, and modularizing software system architectures
  - In general, OO methods are characterized by structuring the system architecture on the basis of its *objects* (and classes of objects) rather than the *actions* it performs
- *What are the benefits of OO?*
  - OO enhances key *software quality factors* of a system and its constituent components
- *What is the rationale for using OO?*
  - In general, systems evolve and functionality changes, but objects and classes tend to remain stable over time

4

## Software Quality Factors

- Object-oriented techniques enhance key external and internal software quality factors, *e.g.*,
  1. External (visible to end-users)
    - (a) *Correctness*
    - (b) *Robustness and reliability*
    - (c) *Performance*
  2. Internal (visible to developers)
    - (a) *Modularity*
    - (b) *Flexibility/Extensibility*
    - (c) *Reusability*
    - (d) *Compatibility* (via standard/uniform interfaces)

5

## OOA, OOD, and OOP

- Object-oriented methods may be applied to different phases in the software life-cycle
  - *e.g.*, analysis, design, implementation, etc.
- OO analysis (OOA) is a process of *discovery*
  - Where a development team models and understands the requirements of the system
- OO design (OOD) is a process of *invention and adaptation*
  - Where the development team creates the abstractions and mechanisms necessary to meet the system's behavioral requirements determined during analysis

6

## OOA, OOD, and OOP (cont'd)

- Is it also useful to distinguish between object-oriented design (OOD) and object-oriented programming (OOP)
  - OOD is relatively independent of the programming language used
  - OOP is primarily concerned with programming language and software implementation issues
- Obviously, the more consistent the OOD and OOP techniques, the easier they are to apply successfully in real-life...

7

## OOA, OOD, and OOP (cont'd)

- Basic Definitions
  1. *Object-Oriented Design*
    - A method for decomposing software architectures based on the *objects* every system or subsystem manipulates
      - \* Rather than "the" function it is meant to ensure
  2. *Object-Oriented Programming*
    - The construction of software systems as structured collections of *Abstract Data Type* (ADT) implementations, plus *inheritance* and *dynamic binding*

8

## Object-Oriented Design Topics

- Object-oriented design concepts include:
  - *Decomposition/Composition*
  - *Abstraction*
    - \* *Modularity*
    - \* *Information Hiding*
    - \* *Virtual Machine Hierarchies*
  - *Separating Policy and Mechanism*
  - *Subset Identification and Program Families*
  - *Reusability*
- Main purpose of these design concepts is to manage software system complexity by improving software quality factors

9

## Object-Oriented Programming Topics

- Object-oriented programming features and techniques include
  - *Data abstraction and information hiding*
  - *Active (rather than passive) types*
  - *Genericity*
  - *Inheritance and dynamic binding*
  - *Programming by contract*
  - *Assertions and exception handling*
- Throughout the course we'll discuss how these OOP features and techniques improve software quality
  - *e.g., correctness, reusability, extensibility, reliability, etc.*

10

## Review: Goals of the Design Phase

- *Decompose System into Modules*
  - *i.e., identify the software architecture via "clustering"*
    - \* *In general, clusters should maximize cohesion and minimize coupling*
- *Determine Relations Between Modules*
  - Identify and specify module dependencies
    - \* *e.g., inheritance, composition, uses, etc.*
  - Determine the form of intermodule communication, *e.g.,*
    - \* *global variables*
    - \* *parameterized function calls*
    - \* *shared memory*
    - \* *RPC or message passing*

11

## Review: Goals of the Design Phase (cont'd)

- *Specify Module Interfaces*
  - Interfaces should be well-defined
    - \* *facilitate independent module testing*
    - \* *improve group communication*
- *Describe Module Functionality*
  - Informally
    - \* *e.g., comments or documentation*
  - Formally
    - \* *e.g., via module interface specification languages*

12

## Decomposition/Composition

- Decomposition and composition are concepts common to all software life-cycle and design techniques
- The basic concepts are very simple:
  1. Select a portion of the problem (initially, the whole problem)
  2. Decompose the selected portion into one or more constituent components using the design method of choice
    - *e.g.*, functional vs. data structured vs. object-oriented
  3. Determine and depict how the components interact (*i.e.*, composition)
  4. Repeat steps 1 through 3 until some termination criteria is met (*e.g.*, customer is satisfied, run out of money, etc. ;-))

13

## Decomposition/Composition

### (cont'd)

- A major challenge of the design phase for a system is to determine what the primary units of decomposition and composition ought to be
- Another way of looking at this is to ask “at what level of abstraction should the modules be specified?”
- Typical units of decomposition and composition include:
  - *Subsystems*
  - *Virtual machine levels*
  - *Classes*
  - *Functions*

14

## Decomposition/Composition

### (cont'd)

- Some principles for guiding the decomposition and composition process
  - Since design decisions transcend execution time, modules often do not correspond to execution steps...
  - Decompose so as to limit the effect of any one design decision on the rest of the system
  - Remember, anything that permeates the system will be expensive to change
  - Modules should be specified by all information needed to use the module and *nothing more*
  - Try to compose the system by reusing existing components if possible

15

## Abstraction

- *Motivation*
  - Abstraction provides a way to manage complexity by emphasizing essential characteristics and suppressing implementation details
- Traditional abstraction mechanisms
  - *Name abstraction*
  - *Expression abstraction*
  - *Procedural abstraction*
    - \* *e.g.*, closed subroutines
  - *Data abstraction*
    - \* *e.g.*, ADTs
  - *Control abstraction*
    - \* iterators, loops, multitasking, etc.

16

## Modularity

- *Motivation*
  - Modularity is an essential characteristic of good designs since it:
    - \* Enables developers to reduce overall system complexity via *decentralized* software architectures
      - *i.e., divide and conquer*
    - \* Enhances *scalability* by supporting independent and concurrent development by multiple personnel
      - *i.e., Separation of concerns*
- To be both useful and reusable, modules should possess
  1. Well-specified *abstract interfaces*
  2. High *cohesion* and low *coupling*

17

## Criteria for Evaluating Design Methods

- *Modular Decomposability*
  - Does the method aid decomposing a new problem into several separate subproblems?
    - \* *e.g., top-down functional design*
- *Modular Composability*
  - Does the method aid constructing new systems from existing software components?
    - \* *e.g., bottom-up design*
- *Modular Understandability*
  - Are modules separately understandable by a human reader
    - \* *e.g., how tightly coupled are they?*

18

## Criteria for Evaluating Design Methods (cont'd)

- *Modular Continuity*
  - Do small changes to the specification affect a localized and limited number of modules?
- *Modular Protection*
  - Are the effects of run-time abnormalities confined to a small number of related modules?
- *Modular Compatibility*
  - Do the modules have well-defined, standard and/or uniform interfaces?
    - \* *e.g., "principle of least surprise"*

19

## Principles for Ensuring Modular Designs

- *Language Support for Modular Units*
  - Modules must correspond to syntactic units in the language used
- *Few Interfaces*
  - Every module should communicate with as few others as possible
- *Small Interfaces (Weak Coupling)*
  - If any two modules communicate at all, they should exchange as little information as possible

20

## Principles for Ensuring Modular Designs (cont'd)

- *Explicit Interfaces*

- Whenever two modules A and B communicate, this must be obvious from the text of A or B or both

- *Information Hiding*

- All information about a module should be private to the module unless it is specifically declared public

21

## Information Hiding

- *Motivation*

- Details of design decisions that are subject to change should be hidden behind abstract interfaces
  - \* *i.e.*, modules
- Information hiding is one means to enhance abstraction

- Typical information to hide includes:

- *Data representations*
- *Algorithms*
- *Input and Output Formats*
- *Policies and/or mechanisms*
- *Lower-level module interfaces*

22

## Virtual Machines

- *Motivation*

- To reduce overall complexity, software system architectures may be decomposed into, more manageable “virtual machine” units

- A virtual machine provides an extended “software instruction set”

- Provides additional data types and associated “software instructions” that extend the underlying hardware instruction set
- Virtual machines allow incremental extensions to existing “application programmatic interfaces” (APIs)

23

## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- Common examples of virtual machines include

- *Computer Architectures*
  - \* *e.g.*, compiler → assembler → object code → microcode → gates, transistors, signals, etc.
- *Communication protocol stacks*
  - \* *e.g.*, ISO OSI reference model, Internet reference model

24

## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- Several challenges must be overcome to effectively use virtual machines as an architectural structuring technique:
  - *Ensuring Adequate Performance:*
    - \* It is difficult to obtain good performance at level  $N$ , if below  $N$  are not implemented efficiently
    - \* This often requires *implementing* the virtual machine differently than the design may dictate. . .
  - *Alleviating Inter-level Dependencies*
    - \* To maximize reuse, it is essential to eliminate/reduce dependencies “between” virtual machine levels. . .
    - \* Therefore, virtual machines are often organized into hierarchical *layers* or *levels of abstraction*

25

## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- A “hierarchy” may be defined to reduce module interactions by restricting the topology of relationships between virtual machines
- A relation defines a hierarchy if it partitions units into levels
  - Level 0 is the set of all units that use no other units
  - Level  $i$  is the set of all units that use at least one unit at level  $< i$  and no unit at level  $> i$
- Advantages of hierarchical structuring
  - *Facilitates independent development of levels or layers*
  - *Isolates ramifications of change*
  - *Enables rapid prototyping*

26

## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- Relations that define hierarchies:
  - *Uses*
  - *Is-Composed-Of*
  - *Is-A*
  - *Has-A*
- The first two are general to all design methods, the latter two are more particular to object-oriented design and programming

27

## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Uses Relation
  - $X$  Uses  $Y$  if the correct functioning of  $X$  depends on the availability of a correct implementation of  $Y$
  - Note, *uses* is not necessarily the same as *invokes*:
    - \* Some invocations are not *uses* relations
      - *e.g.*, error logging
    - \* Some *uses* relations don't involve direct invocations
      - *e.g.*, message passing, interrupts, shared memory access
  - A simple, but effective design heuristic is to design *uses* relations that yield a hierarchy
    - \* *i.e.*, avoid cycles in the “uses graph”

28

## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Uses Relation (cont'd)
  - Allow  $X$  to use  $Y$  when:
    - \*  $X$  is simpler because it uses  $Y$ 
      - *e.g.*, standard C library routines, OSI layers
    - \*  $Y$  is not substantially more complex because it is not allowed to use  $X$ 
      - *i.e.*, hierarchies should be designed to be useful, and not just to blindly satisfy software engineering principles
    - \* There is a useful subset containing  $Y$  and not  $X$ 
      - *i.e.*, allows sharing and reuse of  $Y$
    - \* There is no conceivably useful subset containing  $X$  but not  $Y$ 
      - *i.e.*,  $Y$  is necessary for  $X$  to function correctly

29

## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Uses Relation (cont'd)
  - How should recursion be handled?
    - \* Group  $X$  and  $Y$  as a single entity in the uses relation
  - A hierarchy in the *uses* relation is essential for designing non-trivial reusable software systems
  - Note that certain software systems require some form of controlled violation of a uses *hierarchy*
    - \* *e.g.*, asynchronous communication protocols, call-back schemes, signal handling, etc.
    - \* *Upcalls* are one way to control these non-hierarchical dependencies

30

## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Is-Composed-Of Relation
  - The *is-composed-of* relationship illustrates how the system is statically decomposed into its constituent components
  - $X$  *is-composed-of*  $\{x_i\}$  if  $X$  is a group of units  $x_i$  that share some common purpose
  - A graphical description of a system's architecture may be specified by the *is-composed-of* relation such that:
    - \* Non-terminals are "virtual" code
    - \* Terminals are the only units represented by "actual" code

31

## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Is-Composed-Of Relation (cont'd)
  - Many programming languages support the *is-composed-of* relation via some higher-level **module** or **record** structuring technique
  - Note: the following are not equivalent:
    1. Level (virtual machine)
    2. Module (an entity that hides a secret)
    3. A subprogram (a code unit)
    4. A record (a passive data structure)
  - Modules and levels need not be identical, as a module may have several components on several levels of a uses hierarchy
    - \* Likewise, a level may be implemented via several modules...

32



## Virtual Machine (cont'd)

- The Is-A and Has-A Relations
  - These two relationships are associated with object-oriented design and programming languages that possess inheritance and class features
  - *Is-A* (*descendant* or *inheritance*) relationship
    - \* class X possesses *Is-A* relationship with class Y if instances of class X are specialization of class Y
    - \* *e.g.*, a square is a specialization of a rectangle, which is a specialization of a shape...
  - *Has-A* (*client* or *composition*) relationship
    - \* class X possesses a *Has-A* relationship with class Y if instances of class X contain an instance(s) of class Y
    - \* *e.g.*, a car has an engine and four tires...

33

## Separate Policies and Mechanisms

- *Motivation*
  - Separate concerns between the *what/when* and the *how* at both the design and implementation phases
- Multiple policies may be implemented via a set of shared mechanisms
  - *e.g.*, OS scheduling and virtual memory paging
- Same policy can be implemented by multiple mechanisms
  - *e.g.*, reliable, non-duplicated, bytestream service can be provided by multiple communication protocols
- What is a policy and what is a mechanism is a matter of perspective...

34

## Program Families and Subsets

- Program families are a collection of related modules or subsystems that form a reusable application *framework*, *e.g.*,
  - UNIX System V STREAMS I/O subsystem
  - Graphical user interface frameworks such as InterViews, MFC, and Fresco
- The components in a program family are similar enough that it makes sense to emphasize their similarities before discussing their differences
- *Motivation*
  - Program families are useful for implementing *subsets*
  - Reasons for providing subsets include cost, time, personnel resources, etc.

35

## Program Families and Subsets (cont'd)

- Identifying subsets:
  - Analyze requirements to identify minimally useful subsets
  - Also identify minimal increments to subsets
- Advantages of subsetting:
  - Facilitates software system extension and contraction
  - Promotes reusability
  - Anticipates potential changes

36

## Program Families and Subsets

(cont'd)

- Program families support:
  - Different services for different markets
    - \* *e.g.*, different alphabets, different vertical applications, different I/O formats
  - Different hardware or software platforms
    - \* *e.g.*, compilers or OSs
  - Different resource trade-offs
    - \* *e.g.*, speed vs. space
  - Different internal resources
    - \* *e.g.*, shared data structures and library routines
  - Different external events
    - \* *e.g.*, UNIX I/O device interface
  - Backward compatibility
    - \* *e.g.*, sometimes it is important to retain bugs!